

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/698,615	RENGGLI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746

**All Participants:**

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Reeser.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### **Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 USC 102/103 (for claims 1, 8, 15 only)*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 8, 15, 6, 13, 18, 20-22*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Justice and Wooten*

#### **Part II.**

#### **SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached on the attached Examiner's amendment that put the Application in condition of allowance by defining over the prior art of record.*

#### **Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)